

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/829,788	Applicant(s) BIRRU, DAGNACHEW	
	Examiner Ted M. Wang	Art Unit 2634	

All Participants:

(1) Ted M. Wang.

(2) Steve Peterson.

Date of Interview: 17 August 2005

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
8-10, 12-14, 18, 19, and 25

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: _____


(3) _____


(4) _____

Time: 1:50 p.m.

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The proposed Examiner amendment has been agreed by the applicant's representative, Steve Peterson. The instant application is in condition for allowance.

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)